



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,825	03/	/05/2002	Kaspar Tobias Winther	5178		
	7590	08/13/2003	·			
Kaspar Tobias Winther				EXAM	EXAMINER	
7 Walnut Street Upton, MA 01568-1101			SARKAR,	SARKAR, ASOK K		
		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2829		
•				DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(X) 8					
,	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	10/087,825	WINTHER, KASPAR TOBIAS					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Asok K. Sarkar	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	March 2002						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		resecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	l .						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 May 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(6	e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	* *						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal F	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 6, 9, 12 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zimmer, US 3,284,174.

Regarding claim 1, Zimmer teaches a method to join materials comprising the steps of :

- (a) providing an intermediate layer (connecting parts) with a gradual change in thermal expansion coefficients across the layer in column 1, lines 15 – 25 and also in column 10, lines 10 – 15 and
- (b) means of bonding the materials to each side of the intermediate layer
 whereby the materials can be joined in a manner that withstand changes in
 temperature despite the materials having different thermal expansion coefficients
 in column 1, lines 10 13 and in between lines 43 60.

Regarding claims 3 – 6, 13 and 14, Zimmer teaches forming the intermediate layers with various distinct metallic alloy systems/layers (claim 3) and changing their chemical compositions (claim 5) in order to gradually change and match the thermal expansion coefficient from one material to the other with reference to Figs 1 – 3 and associated explanations in column 4, line 74 to column 5, line 22. Zimmer also provides

Application/Control Number: 10/087,825

Art Unit: 2829

the composition of various alloy systems in column 1, lines 30 – 43 and methods of making these alloys through conventional/ powder metallurgy processes throughout the disclosure.

The attainment of joining (claims 3, 4, 6 and 14) and the gradual changes in the thermal expansion in the intermediate layer (claim 13) in Zimmer's process is inherently achieved through the diffusion process since the final equilibrium in any metallurgical process of alloying and joining is achieved through diffusion of ions in order to allow interatomic attraction forces to become effective by bringing the atoms closer together (see column 1, lines 43 - 47).

Regarding claim 9, Zimmer teaches intermediate layer selected from metal alloys in column 1, lines 24 – 43.

Regarding claim 12, Zimmer teaches fusion bonding in column 1, lines 50 – 60.

Regarding claim 16, most of the limitations of the claim have been described earlier in rejecting claim 1. The utilization of the intermediate layer as a spacer is shown with respect to Fig. 2.

Regarding claim 17, Zimmer teaches a sheet (i. e. "connecting part") with reference to Fig. 2 in which layer with gradual change in thermal expansion is shown with reference to Fig. 1.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Slattery, US 5,988,488.

Slattery teaches a method to join materials by (a) sandwiching a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/087,825 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

layers 24 between materials 22 and 20 with reference to Figs. 2 and 3 and heating the materials and the layers (during the plasma spray process and hot pressing described in column 5, lines 35 – 55 and in column 6, lines 25 - 35) such that gradual compositional changes are generated across the plurality of layers as they form the FMG (see column 5, lines 35 - 55) whereby the materials 22 and 20 can be joined in a manner that withstand changes in temperature despite the materials having different thermal expansion coefficients (see the summary of the invention in columns 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer, US 3,284,174 in view of Maruyama, US 5,580,658.

Regarding claim 2, Zimmer teaches forming the intermediate layers with various metallic alloy systems and changing their compositions in order to gradually change continuously and match the thermal expansion coefficient from one material to the other with reference to Figs 1 – 3 and associated explanations in column 4, line 74 to column 5, line 22.

Zimmer fails to teach variation in chemical composition in a direction perpendicular to the bonding surface.

Maruyama teaches a functionally gradient material in which variation of composition is continuous (column 2, lines 41 – 42) and the composition varies in the thickness direction (perpendicular to the bonding surface) of the composite material having a plate-like shape (column 2, lines 53 – 55) so that a practical composite material having two dissimilar materials at both ends is produced (column 3, lines 43 – 58). Functionally gradient materials will inherently have a gradual change of the thermal expansion coefficient.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Zimmer's teachings in light of the above mentioned figures and vary the chemical composition in a direction perpendicular to the bonding surface so that the connecting part has a uniform compositional change and therefore gradual thermal expansion change in order to form thermally stable plate-like joined parts as taught by Maruyama.

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Zimmer teaches forming the intermediate layers with various metallic alloy systems and changing their compositions in order to gradually change and match the thermal expansion coefficient from one material to the other with reference to Figs 1 – 3 and associated explanations in column 4, line 74 to column 5, line 22.

Zimmer fails to teach the intermediate layer possessing variation in the relative proportions of different phases in a direction perpendicular to the bonding surface.

Maruyama teaches a functionally gradient material of two different phases in which variation of composition is continuous (column 2, lines 41 - 42) and the

composition varies in the thickness direction (perpendicular to the bonding surface) of the composite material having a plate-like shape (column 2, lines 53 - 55) so that a practical composite material having two dissimilar materials at both ends is produced (column 3, lines 43 - 58). Functionally gradient materials will inherently have a gradual change of the thermal expansion coefficient.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Zimmer's teachings in light of the above mentioned figures and vary the chemical composition so that the intermediate layer possess a variation of different phases in a direction perpendicular to the bonding surfaces as taught by Maruyama and therefore gradual thermal expansion change in order to form thermally stable joined parts.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer, US 3,284,174 in view of Oliver, US 6,114,188.

Zimmer teaches various bonding/joining techniques in column 1, lines 43 – 67 and brazing in column 6, line 36. Zimmer fails to teach bonding by anodic bonding and adhesive bonding by introducing layer of adhesives between the intermediate layer and either of the two materials.

Oliver teaches bonding techniques for two materials by the use of anodic bonding and adhesives for materials having different thermal expansion coefficients in column 3, lines 29 – 40.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Zimmer's teachings and use bonding techniques such as

Art Unit: 2829

anodic bonding and introduction of adhesive layers since Oliver teaches that these bonding techniques can be used to bond two dissimilar materials having different thermal expansion coefficients.

6. Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer, US 3,284,174 in view of Maruyama, US 5,580,658 as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Dumesnil, US 4,997,718.

Zimmer in view of Maruyama fails to teach that the variation in the relative proportions of different phases is accomplished by using a layer of resin with gradual change in the filler from one side of the intermediate layer to the other side of the intermediate layer.

Dumesnil teaches a technique for bonding two materials with different thermal expansion coefficients such as ceramic and semiconductor (see column 1, lines 15 – 18) in which he uses a low temperature glass that can be formed by using a resin and glass powder (column 2, lines 36 – 39) and filler (column 2, lines 60 – 63). The compositional change will inherently vary the thermal expansion coefficient of the intermediate layer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Zimmer's teachings with that of Dumensil so that the bonding can be achieved at low temperature (see column 1, lines 15 – 19).

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer, US 3,284,174 as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Dumesnil, US 4,997,718 and Kodas, US 6, 360,562.

Art Unit: 2829

Zimmer fails to teach the layer is formed from a sol-gel precursor.

Dumesnil teaches that bonding can be achieved by using glass as was explained above in rejecting claims 8 and 19.

Page 8

Kodas teaches that glass composition can be made homogeneous by the use of sol-gel process in column 30, lines 21 - 30.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Zimmer's teachings with that of Dumensil so that the bonding can be achieved at low temperature and use the glass composition that is made by the sol-gel process taught by Kodas since the glass will have a homogeneous composition for better compositional control (see column 30, lines 21 – 30).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asok K. Sarkar whose telephone number is 703 308 2521. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8 AM- 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 703 308 1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular communications and 703 308 7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,825 Page 9

Art Unit: 2829

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 4918.

Asok K. Sarkar August 8, 2003